

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/987,162	JONES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) GANG LUO.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 12 & 24

Prior art documents discussed:
HU (6483538)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants' attorney, namely (Gang Luo) agreed to amend the claims to more narrowly define the claims and distinguish the invention from that of Hu (See Examiner's Amendment for details).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)